

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,086	10/28/2003	Van S. Chandler	215063.01506	1156	
35617	7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER		
DAFFER MCDANEIL LLP			BARBEE, MANUEL L		
P.O. BOX 684908 AUSTIN, TX 78768			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857	2857	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/694,086	CHANDLER, VAN S.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Manuel L. Barbee	2857	

	Manuel L. Barbee	2857					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	to avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NG w); tter form for appeal by materially r	OTE below); educing or simplifying					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	:						
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 3-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a l d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	,				
13.  Other:		Man Loff					
		ERVISORY PATENT TYAMI	NÉŘ				

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims, if amended as proposed, would require further search and consideration for a processor that is adapted to process data from the plurality of data storage areas at a rate that is determined based on a value of the data from the plurality of storage areas.